# JOINING DATA OWNER-SIDE AND CLOUD-SIDE ACCESS CONTROL FOR ENCRYPTED CLOUD STORAGE

T. Anil Karuna Kumar, Associate Professor, Dept.of Master of Computer Applications, Narayana Engineering College(Autonomous), Gudur.SPSR Nellore, AP, India.
P. Sandhya, PG Scholar, Dept.of Master of Computer Applications, Narayana Engineering College(Autonomous), Gudur.SPSR Nellore, AP, India.

#### Abstract

Individuals support the incredible force of cloud computing, but cannot fully rely on cloud providers to host privacy-delicate information due to lack of user control over the cloud. To form a certain degree of confidentiality, the owner of the information provides encrypted information rather than plain text. For sharing encrypted files with different users, Cipher Text Policy's attribute-based secret writer (CPABE) is also used for owner-centric, fine-grained access management. But this is not enough to resist different attacks. Many previous schemes did not give cloud providers the ability to check if the downloader can crack. Therefore, these files should be required to be released to everyone who has access to cloud storage.

**Keywords:** Ciphertext-policy attribute-based encryption (CP-ABE), access control, public cloud storage, accounting, privacy-preserving.

### Introduction

Distributed storage has many advantages, for example, consistently on the web, pay-more only as costs arise, and modest. During these years, more information is moved to the public cloud for tenacious capacity, including individual and business reports. It brings a security worry to information proprietors - the public cloud isn't trusted, and the rethought information ought not to be spilled to the cloud supplier without authorization from information proprietors. Numerous capacity frameworks use worker overwhelmed admittance control, similar to secret key-based and testament-based verification. They excessively trust the cloud supplier to secure their delicate information. The cloud suppliers and their representatives can peruse any report paying little mind to information proprietors' entrance strategy.

Moreover, the cloud supplier can overstate the asset utilization of the document stockpiling and charge the payers more without giving irrefutable records since we come up short on a framework for certain calculations of the asset use. Depending on the current worker ruled admittance control isn't secure. Information proprietors who store records on cloud workers really need to regulate the doorway on their own hands and keep the knowledge classified against the cloud supplier and noxious clients.

To add the classification, ensure information proprietors can encode the documents and set an access strategy so that lone qualified clients can decode the archive. With Ciphertext-Policy Attribute-based Encryption (CP-ABE), we can have both fine-grained admittance control and solid privacy. Nonetheless, this access control is just accessible for information proprietors, which turns out to be deficient. On the off chance that the cloud supplier can't validate clients prior to downloading, as in many existing CP-ABE distributed storage frameworks, the cloud needs to permit everybody to download to guarantee accessibility. This makes the capacity framework powerless against asset weariness assaults.

#### **Statement of the Problem:**

**Resource-Exhaustion Attack:**within the event that the cloud cannot do cloud-side access management, it must allow anybody, numeration pernicious assailants, to uninhibitedly transfer, despite the very fact that simply some purchasers will unscramble. The employee is ineffective against quality depletion assaults. At the purpose once pernicious purchasers dispatch the DoS/DDoS assaults to the distributed storage, the quality consumption can increment. Payers (in pay-more solely

as prices arise model) have to be compelled to get the distended utilization contributed by those assaults, that is a powerful and absurd financial weight. The assault has been bestowed as Economic Denial of property (EDoS), which means payers area unit monetarily abused within the end of the day. what is more, even records area unit disorganised, unapproved downloads will reduce security by transfer comfort to disconnected examination and spilling information like record length or update repetition.

**Resource Consumption Accountability:** In the pay-more only as costs arise model, clients pay cash to the cloud supplier for capacity administrations. The expense is chosen by asset utilization. Nonetheless, CP-ABE based plans for distributed storage access control don't make online affirmations to the information proprietor before downloads. It is required for the cloud specialist co-op to demonstrate to the payers about the genuine asset utilization. Something else, the cloud supplier can charge more without being discovered.

#### **Objective of the Study**

The objective of this project is to be secure and capable in real-world applications, we give two shows of cloud-side and data owner-side merged admittance control. The standard responsibility of this work can be shortened as seeks after.

1) We propose an overall response for secure mixed cloud storage to keep the EDoS attacks, similarly as have fine-grained will control and resource consumption accountability. To the best of our understanding, this is the first work to ensure that lacking cloud-side access control in encoded conveyed capacity will provoke EDoS attacks and gives a sensible plan. The solution can be amazing with various CP-ABE plans.

2) For different data owner online models and performance concerns, we give two shows to affirmation and resource use accounting. We similarly present the bloom channel and the probabilistic check to further develop the efficiency but simultaneously guarantee security.

3) Compared with many conditions of expressions advancements of encrypted dispersed capacity that acknowledge the presence of a semi-authentic cloud provider, we use a logically practical threat model where we anticipate that the cloud supplier should be a covert adversary, which gives a higher security guarantee.

#### **Review of Literature**

RELATED WORKS to coordinate a fine-grained data owner-side access control straightforwardly dispersed capacity, which is semi-certified, Attribute-based Encryption (ABE), is introduced. Among ABE plans, CPABE is logical out in the open appropriated stockpiling, wherein the ciphertext is mixed under a passageway technique and just customers whose credits satisfy the passage methodology can unscramble the ciphertext. As such, various varieties and material shows have been proposed to make CP-ABE more fitting for certified circumstances with rich functionalities and security properties out in the open disseminated stockpiling. The cryptography-driven permission control doesn't guarantee the cloud provider against various unique attacks.

Since the cloud provider doesn't immediately the passage control, it can't stop those unapproved customers. One attack that is started from this limitation is Distributed Denial of Administrations (DDoS). The power of DDoS attacks has been seemed to cause immense resource usage in CPU, memory, I/O, and association. The attacks can exist transparently fogs. The requirement of cloud-side static resource distribution model is researched, including the risk of Economic Refusal of Sustainability (EDoS) attacks, which is the circumstance of DDoS attacks in the cloud setting, or the Fraudulent Resource Utilization (FRC) attack. These attacks are proposed to break the monetary arrangement of public cloud customers. Some current works endeavor to soothe EDoS attacks. the makers proposed a help technique by affirming whether a request comes from a cloud customer or is delivered by bots. the makers proposed a quality-based way to deal with perceived poisonous clients. They treat the essential application in a black box and don't totally immunize the attack in the algorithmic and show level.

## Dogo Rangsang Research Journal ISSN: 2347-7180

# UGC Care Group I Journal Vol-08 Issue-14 No. 01 : 2021

Some current works talk about the fundamental of accounting resource use in the public cloud mixes a couple of concerns. the makers analyzed primary concerns of interest and hardships regarding how to achieve obligation in dispersed processing. The makers outlined existing accounting and obligations in content dissemination plans. The makers independently proposed a conscious approach for undeniable resource accounting in dispersed processing. In any case, the accounting approach incorporates changes to the system model and requires the baffling affirmation of customers, which isn't maintained in past structures. Differentiated and relevant plans, our approach manages the show level to give the resource assurance that relies upon endorsed customers who satisfy the CPABE methodology and achieves the secret security which is more practical and secure.

## **Research Methodology**

Many of the previous encrypted cloud storage schemes specialize in semi-honest cloud suppliers. This assumption is robust, because the cloud storage supplier will perform an energetic attack (e.g., tamper the ciphertext) and will ne'er be caught. However, a maliciously secure theme against the cloud is just too serious, that brings computation and communication overhead.

We propose an answer to secure encrypted cloud storage from EDoS attacks and supply resource consumption responsibility. It uses CP-ABE schemes in an exceedingly black-box manner and complies with the whimsical access policy of the CP-ABE. we have a tendency to gift two protocolsfor varioussettings, followed by performance and security analysis.



Fig.1: System model

The distributed storage framework comprises of three entities: data owners, data clients, and the cloud supplier.

## Data Owner:

Information proprietors are the proprietor and distributers of records and pay for the asset utilization on document sharing. As the payers for cloud benefits, the information proprietors need the straightforwardness of asset utilization to guarantee reasonable charging. The information proprietors require the cloud supplier to legitimize the asset utilization. In our framework, the information proprietor isn't generally on the web. **Data Clients:**need to acquire a few records from the cloud supplier put away on the distributed storage. They should be confirmed by the cloud supplier before the download (to impede EDoS assaults). The approved clients then, at that point affirm (and sign for) the asset utilization for this download to the cloud supplier.

**Cloud Supplier:**has the encoded stockpiling and is consistently on the web? It records the asset utilization and charges information proprietor's dependent on that record. The cloud isn't public-available in our framework as it has confirmation-based admittance control. Just information clients fulfilling the entrance strategy can download the relating records. The cloud supplier additionally gathers the confirmation of asset utilization to legitimize the charging.

We have three controls among three elements in our framework:

**Control I:** Information proprietors dole out an entrance strategy in the record, which controls the arrangement of information clients who have the advantages to unscramble the substance.

**Control II:** Information proprietors confirm the asset consumption from the cloud supplier, which controls the cloud supplier not to overstate the asset utilization.

**Control III:** The cloud supplier confirms whether the client can unscramble before the download, which controls the capacity of a noxious client who dispatches DDoS/EDoS assaults.

#### 65.8 279.06 +0.3ms (0.3%) Cloud provider execution time (µs) 100 0 1 £ 65.6 +0.1ms (0.1%) a owner upload time (1 65 5 (<1ms) 65.4 0.03 et 65.2 65.0 ABE+POP ABE+FOP ABE ABE+POP ABE+FOP ABE (b) (a) 189.0 100 owner verification of transparency time (ms) 27.900 +0.1ms (<0.1%) 188.78 10 a 188.8 ≈ ABE 188 68 188.68 time 188.6 1 exec 0.1 188.4 0.013 a 188.2 0.01 Data 0.001 188.0 ABE+POP ABE+FOP ABE ABE+POP ABE+FOP (d) (c)

Fig.2: Performance analysis of the computation cost with the illustration of the communication under attacks. (a) Data owner upload time. (b) Cloud provider execution time. (c) Data user execution time. (d) Verification of transparency time.

#### **Result Analysis**

We give the examination arrangement and break down the calculation overhead and correspondence overhead between unique CP-ABE based capacity (without incognito security), POP, what's more, FOP, respectively.



Fig.3: Performance analysis of the communication cost with the illustration of the communication under attacks. (a) Data owner upload communication. (b) Cloud-user communication. (c) Owner verification communication. (d) Communication under attacks.

#### Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a combined cloud-side and data owner-side access control in encrypted cloud storage, which is resistant to DDoS/EDoS attacks and provides resource consumption accounting. Our system supports arbitrary CP-ABE constructions. The construction is secure against malicious data users and a covert cloud provider. We relax the security requirement of the cloud provider to covert adversaries, which is a more practical and relaxed notion than that with semi-honest adversaries. To make use of the covert security, we use bloom filter and probabilistic check in the resource consumption accounting to reduce the overhead. Performance analysis shows that the overhead of our construction is small over existing systems.

#### **References:**

- 1. Q. Zhang, L. Cheng, and R. Boutaba, "Cloud computing: State-of-theart and research challenges," J. Internet Services Appl., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 7–18, 2010.
- 2. K. Ren, C. Wang, and Q. Wang, "Security challenges for the public cloud," IEEE Internet Comput., vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 69–73, Jan./Feb. 2012.
- 3. L. Zhou, Y. Zhu, and A. Castiglione, "Efficient k-NN query over encrypted data in cloud with limited key-disclosure and offline data owner," Comput. Secur., vol. 69, pp. 84–96, Aug. 2017.
- S. Hu, Q. Wang, J. Wang, Z. Qin, and K. Ren, "Securing SIFT: Privacy-preserving outsourcing computation of feature extractions over encrypted image data," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 3411–3425, Jul. 2016.
- 5. H.-M. Sun, Y.-H. Chen, and Y.-H. Lin, "OPass: A user authentication protocol resistant to password stealing and password reuse attacks," IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 651–663, Apr. 2012.
- L. Harn and J. Ren, "Generalized digital certificate for user authentication and key establishment for secure communications," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 2372–2379, Jul. 2011.

# **Dogo Rangsang Research Journal** ISSN: 2347-7180

- 7. V. Sekar and P. Maniatis, "Verifiable resource accounting for cloud computing services," in Proc. 3rd ACM Workshop Cloud Comput. Secur. Workshop, 2011, pp. 21–26.
- C. Chen, P. Maniatis, A. Perrig, A. Vasudevan, and V. Sekar, "Towards verifiable resource accounting for outsourced computation," ACM SIGPLAN Notices, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 167–178, 2013.
- 9. J. Bethencourt, A. Sahai, and B. Waters, "Ciphertext-policy attribute-based encryption," in Proc. IEEE Symp. Security Privacy (SP), May 2007, pp. 321–334.
- B. Waters, "Ciphertext-policy attribute-based encryption: An expressive, efficient, and provably secure realization," in Public Key Cryptography— PKC. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2011, pp. 53–70.
- 11. M. Li, S. Yu, Y. Zheng, K. Ren, and W. Lou, "Scalable and secure sharing of personal health records in cloud computing using attribute-based encryption," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst., vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 131–143, Jan. 2013.
- 12. S. Yu, K. Ren, and W. Lou, "Attribute-based content distribution with hidden policy," in Proc. 4th Workshop Secure Netw. Protocols (NPSec), Oct. 2008, pp. 39–44.
- 13. S. Hohenberger and B. Waters, "Online/offline attribute-based encryption," in Public-Key Cryptography—PKC. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2014, pp. 293–310.
- 14. Penchalaiah, P., & Rajasekar, P. An Efficient Multi-User Hierarchical Authenticated Encryption Using Simultaneous Congruence for Highly Secure Data. International Journal of Future Generation Communication and Networking (WoS), ISSN, 2233-7857.