REASONS AND OUTCOMES OF PART-TIME EMPLOYMENT OF STUDENTS –

A CAUSAL STUDY (With reference to Women students in Chennai City)

Ms. R. Saisudha

Full – Time Research Scholar, Department of Commerce

Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS)

(Deemed to be University), Pallavaram, Chennai – 600 117

Dr. A.G. Vijayanarayanan

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce

Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS)

(Deemed to be University), Pallavaram, Chennai - 600 117

ABSTRACT

The part-time employment is a means of earning financial support to one's self and the family and also a way of learning and unlearning with respect to work, work culture and many more. Nowadays students are showing interest towards part-time employment to gain all things possible, it may be earning money, gaining practical knowledge, learning work ethics and also making friends which helps them not only to build a resume but also to build career. This study deals with the Reasons for choosing part-time employment by the women students and its Outcomes. This study is Empirical and Exploratory in nature. A structured questionnaire has been formed and responses has been collected from 150 women student respondents from Chennai city. Factor Analysis and Multiple Regression has been applied to study the Reasons and Outcomes regarding the part-time employment of women students.

Key - words: Women students, Part-time employment, Factor analysis, Practical knowledge,

Being more independent.

JEL Classification: J24, M50, M00

INTRODUCTION

The engagement of students in part-time employment has various reasons and its own outcomes. Working for part-time in an organization aids student financially and also strengthens them morally as they start earning by themselves and also for supporting the family. The various other reasons include financial compulsion, influence of friends, to make decisions, to build a resume and so on. The various outcomes include making life more interesting, being more punctual and being able to have work-life balance, etc.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The part-time employment is defined as to the work where the number of hours of work would be less then a full-time work and the part-time employer is defined as those who work for fewer hours than that of the full-time workers (**ILO**, **Part time Convention**, **1994 No. 175**). This may be a general definition but the threshold for defining it varies in different countries (**O'Reiley and Fagan**, **1998**). Also, the number of hours people work on part-time varies from one country to another (**Colette Fagan**, **Helen Norman et al**, **2014**).

Availability of part-time employment is restricted to lower level jobs and very limited in professional and managerial posts. Eligibility also plays an important role in defining the levels and areas of employment (**Kersley et al, 2006**). Women engages themselves in mostly informal sector and hence they are paid low wages and are in low quality jobs (**Chandrashekhar and Ghosh, 2007**).

With regards to the industrialized countries many surveys show that both women and men come forward to work for part-time employment at some point in their career (Fagan, 2004). Voluntary employment is seen especially when it is done voluntarily as a means of freeing up the time for other activities and at the same time cover up financial necessities, gaining mor practical knowledge, handling work and studies (Fagan and Walthery, 2007; Anxo et al, 2007b). But every time the part-time employment is not voluntary. In case of developed countries men and women work part-time because of the reason that they are not able to get place in full-time employment (Smith, 2009). Among the part-time workers women usually work slightly longer hours than men although Mexico, Turkey and Korea are exception (Colette Fagan, Helen Norman et al, 2014).

When it comes to part-time employment by the students there is a positive growth in the academics of those students who work while they study (**Austin, 1975**). Especially when the work they are into is related to what they study then there is higher chance of having an optimistic outcome in their academics, which in turn helps them construct clear goals in the future (**Derous and Ryan, 2008**). But when the students start working for more than 16 hours per day it results in low performance in their academics (**Sorenson and Winn, 1993, Taylor and Smith, 1999, Carney, 2000, Salamnson and Andrew, 2006**).

RESEARCH GAP

Many researchers have studied about Part-time employment but has not extensively explored the Reasons and their Outcomes, the relationship between the former and the latter, and the underlying dimensions of these. Hence, it is a modest attempt to bring out this causal relationship between reasons and outcomes.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. To know the Demographic profiles of the student respondents.
- 2. To explore the underlying latent dimensions of Reasons for choosing Part-time employment.
- 3. To explore the underlying latent dimensions of Outcomes of choosing Part-time employment.
- 4. To study the influence of the Reasons for choosing Part-time employment on the Outcomes.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Primary data has been collected from 150 women student respondents pursuing Commerce, Science and Arts from a self-financing college in Chennai. A structures questionnaire has been used to collect data from the respondents. The questionnaire includes two sections. Section 1 includes the Personal profiles of the respondents Section 2 includes the Reasons and Outcomes measured in 5 Point Likert scale. Percentage analysis and Descriptive statistics has been applied to study the Personal profile of the respondents. Factor analysis has been applied to know the underlying latent dimensions of Reasons and Outcomes and Multiple regression has been applied to study the influence of Reasons over Outcomes of engaging in part-time employment by the women students.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Profile		Groups with frequencies					
Course	Commerce 79	Science 34	Arts 37	150			
Year	First year 36	Second year 90	Third year 24	150			
Nature of family	Nuclear 109	Jo	nt 41	150			

 Table -1 Demographic profiles of the respondents

Preference of	Working days 43	Weel	cends 4		Everyday 103		150	
part time job								
Payment mode	Time based 44	Fixed		Payment		Completion		150
		payment 74		per u	r unit 9		ask 5	
Mean and S. D o	Mean and S. D of Age = 18.633 ± 0.979			Median = 19			Mode = 19	
Mean and S. D o	Mean and S. D of Monthly income =			Median = 4000 Mode			Mode =	5000
4459.667 ± 1447.0486								
Mean and S. D of Hours of work = 5.157			Median = 5 Mod			Mode =	5	
± 1.4072								

Source: Primary data

Table 1 shows that majority of the respondents are pursuing Commerce (79) and are in the Second year (90) of the course. They live in Nuclear family (109) and prefers to work every day (103) and to have a fixed payment (74).

Factors and	Variables	Factor	Mean with SD	MSA	Communalities
% of		loading			
Variance					
explained					
Job	Beneficial to future	0.797	3.773 ± 1.056	0.729	0.709
prospective	employment				
factor	Gain job experience	0.787	3.873±1.076	0.806	0.641
	For Gaining practical	0.778	4.033 ± 0.993	0.702	0.717
	knowledge and skills				
	Good to put on resume	0.493	3.447 ± 1.102	0.763	0.519
Uphold	Financial Necessity	0.725	3.933 ± 1.115	0.702	0.542
factor	To support family	0.721	4.427 ± 0.885	0.720	0.621
	To use time without	0.707	3.753 ± 1.187	0.682	0.577
	wasting it				
Acquaintance	To work with friends	0.698	3.073 ± 1.316	0.591	0.598
factor	Influenced by friends	0.672	2.433 ± 1.089	0.550	0.599
Self-	For earning money and	0.577	3.213 ± 1.224	0.620	0.604
dependency	be more independent				

 Table 2 – Factor analysis of Reasons variables

www.drsrjournal.com Vol-10 Issue-04 April 2020

factor	For repaying loan	0.479	2.500 ± 1.241	0.521	0.370
	Building relationships		3.247 ± 1.341	0.718	0.572
	through job				

Source: Primary data

The factor analysis has been applied to 12 Reasons for choosing part-time employment variables to analyze the dominant dimensions. The 12 variables have robust mean values. The communalities of the variables ranging from 0.370 to 0.717 shows that it is fit for factorization. KMO-MSA value of 0.705 and chi-square value of 337.888 with df 66 and P value (P < 0.001) reveals that the factor analysis can be applied to 12 Reasons variables. 4 Reasons factors explaining 58.88% of total variance has been extracted out of 12 Reasons variables. Of them the most dominant factor is the Job Prospective factor, Uphold factor, Acquaintance factor and Self-dependency factor.

Factors	Variables	Facto	Mean with SD	MSA	Communalities
and % of		r			
Variance		loadi			
explained		ng			
Promptness	To be more confident	0.789	4.200 ± 0.983	0.868	0.715
and	To be more punctual	0.760	4.080 ± 0.993	0.862	0.629
Reliance	To make right decisions	0.752	4.040 ± 1.092	0.895	0.660
factor	To have my work life	0.667	4.107 ± 0.884	0.903	0.467
	balance better				
	To be more organized	0.604	3.753 ± 1.009	0.920	0.425
	To learn new skills	.0520	4.207 ± 0.854	0.861	0.368
Novelty	To feel better at college	0.857	3.500 ± 1.169	0.825	0.757
factor	To make life more	0.734	3.620 ± 1.145	0.889	0.632
	interesting				
	To meet interesting	0.718	3.607 ± 1.169	0.855	0.662
	people				
	To managing stress	0.625	3.560 ± 1.084	0.904	0.458
	effectively				

Table 3 – Factor analysis of Outcome variables

Source: Primary data

www.drsrjournal.com Vol-10 Issue-04 April 2020

The factor analysis has been applied to 10 Outcomes variables to analyze the dominant dimensions. The 10 Outcome variables have robust means. The communalities of the variables ranging from 0.368 to 0.757 shows that it is fit for factorization. KM- - MSA value of 0.875 and chi-square value of 598.589 with df of 45 and P value (P < 0.001) reveals that the factor analysis can be applied to the 10 Outcome variables. 2 Outcome factors explaining 57.749% of total variance has been extracted out of 10 Outcome variables. Of them the most dominant factor is Promptness and Reliance factor and Novelty factor.

			Adjusted	Std. Error	Change Statistics					
		R	R	of the	R Square Sig. F				Sig. F	
Model	R	Square	Square	Estimate	Change	F Change	df1	df2	Change	
1	.749	.560	.551	4.79683	.049	16.427	1	146	.000	

Table 4 – Model Summary for Multiple Regression Analysis

Table 5 – ANOVA Table for Regression Model

		Sum of				
Model		Squares	df	Mean Square	\mathbf{F}	Sig.
1	Regression	4283.590	3	1427.863	62.055	.000
	Residual	3359.403	146	23.010		
	Total	7642.993	149			

Table 6 – Coefficients Table for Multiple Regression

		Unstandardiz Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			Collinearity Statistics	
	Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	5.220	2.490		2.096	.038		
	Job prospective factor	.874	.137	.389	6.375	.000	.810	1.234
	Uphold factor	1.107	.180	.368	6.149	.000	.840	1.191
	Self-dependency factor	.762	.188	.240	4.053	.000	.857	1.166

Source: Primary data

www.drsrjournal.com Vol-10 Issue-04 April 2020

The tables 4 to 6 show that Multiple regression model using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) is used to find out the influence of reasons of independent variables on the dependent variable of Outcome.

Table 5 shows that the p value is less than 0.05, indicating that the model is good fit. Table 4 shows that R2 value is 0.560 indicating that the independent variables are influencing the dependent variable to the extent of 56%. Table 6 shows that job prospective factor, uphold factor and self-dependency factor influences the dependent variable total outcome positively and significantly. Among this, uphold factor influences the more followed by job prospective factor and self-dependency factor based on their beta or coefficient values. Hence, we can conclude that the more upholding, job prospective and self-dependency it influences the total outcome i.e., it influences both Promptness & Reliance factor and Novelty factor.

FINDINGSFROM THE STUDY

- 1. Majority of the respondents are pursuing Commerce and are from Nuclear family and are working on everyday basis.
- 2. Important factors of Reasons for part-time employment are Job prospective factor, Uphold factor, Acquaintance factor and Self-dependency factor.
- 3. Important factors of Outcomes of part-time employment are Promptness and Reliance factor and Novelty factor.

SUGGESTIONS FROM THE STUDY

- 1. Majority of the students have engaged themselves in the part-time employment to support their family. So, students can opt for part-time to support the financial necessity of their family.
- Potential Job prospective / Career Prospect is considered more important by the students.
 Part-time employment provides better exposure, prospective and tentative career options to the students.
- 3. Part-time employment also facilitates better learning and improves the confidence of the students.
- **4.** Promptness and reliance factor and Novelty factor plays a vital role in career opportunity and enhances the total outcomes.

CONCLUSION

P a g e | 23UGC Care Group I Journal

www.drsrjournal.com Vol-10 Issue-04 April 2020

Thepart-time employment covers up the financial necessity of the students to a greater extent and also provides better opportunities to the students to climb up the career ladder.Part-time employment if used properly, makes the students to be capable enough to balance their studies and work and also to reap all these possible positive outcomes.

A little effort each day adds up to big results.

REFERENCES

Smith, M. 2009. Analysis Note: Gender Equality and Recession, financed by and prepared for the use of the *European Commission, Directorate- General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities*.

Walthery, P. 2007. "The role and effectiveness of time policies for reconciliation of care responsibilities" in OECD (ed.): Modernizing Social Policy for the New life Course (Paris, OECD).

Austin, A. W. (1975). Preventing students from dropping out, San Francisco: Josey-Bass.

Chandrashekhar, C.P and Ghosh, J. 2007. "Women workers in Inida".Macroscanhttp://www.macroscan.com/fet/feb07/fet06020Women_Workers.htm. Colette Fagan, Helen Norman et al, 2014 "In search of good quality paper". *International Labor Office, Geneva.*

Fagan, C. (eds). 1998. Part-time prospects: An international comparison of part-time work in *Europe*, *North America and the Pacific Rim (London, Routledge)*.

ILO. 1994. The Part-time Work Convention, 1994 (No. 175).

Kersley, B.; Alpin, C.; Forth, J.; Bryson, A.; Bewley, H.; Dix, G.; Oxenbridge, S. 2006. "Inside the workplace: Findings from the 2004 Workplace Employment Relations Survey" (*London, Routledge*).

O'Reilly, J. 1998. "Conceptualizing part-time work: The value of an integrated comparative perspective" in J. O'Reilly and C. Fagan, C. (eds): Part-time Prospects: an international comparison of part-time work in Europe, North America and the Pacific Rim (London, Routledge).