

Areviewof developing rigid vegetation

¹BITISHREE PATEL,

Gandhi Institute of Excellent Technocrats, Bhubaneswar, India

²PRADYUMNA BINDHANI,

Majhighariani Institute of Technology and Science, Rayagada, Odisha, India

Abstract

All continents except Antarctica were present, but contributions from Europe and Asia dominated, South America and Africa were moderately represented and North America and Australia underrepresented. In terms of vegetation types temperate grasslands and forests were the most frequent study subjects, while aquatic and weed communities were hardly addressed at all. We highlight the classification of the petrifying springs in Ire- land (Lyons & Kelly 2017, *Phytocoenologia* 47: 13–32) as a prototypic study we would like to be published in the journal and thus acknowledge the authors with the Editors' Award 2017. Also the two permanent sections, Ecoinformatics (with Long and Short Database Reports) as well as Phytosociological Nomenclature (with no- menclatural proposals and nomenclatural revisions) are important and much used parts of *Phytocoenologia*.

Keywords: *Phytocoenologia*; phytosociological no-
menclature;vegetationclassification;vegetationsurvey;vegetation-plotdatabase.

Phytocoenologia is the world's leading journal with a focus on vegetation classification and survey (Dengler et al. 2017). Since its re-launch in 2015, which reinforced this focus, 46 research papers (including one review and synthesis paper) dealing with the classification or description of plant communities were published in our journal (49 when you include one primarily methodological contribution and two papers focusing on nomenclatural aspects). Not surprisingly, most of them come from Eur-asia (Table 1), the continent with the longest tradition in vegetation classification. A little more surprising, however, is the fact that >50% of the vegetation survey papers focus on only five out of 49 formations (following the global formation type system of Faber-Langendoen et al. 2016), and 35% of the papers are connected with just two of them: Temperate Grassland & Shrubland (10 papers) and Cool Temperate Forest & Woodland (6 papers). A total of 22 formations were studied in at least one paper. Obvious gaps are in the tropics, but also in the boreal zone and in aquatic and anthropogenic habitats (ruderal and weed communities). Geographically, North America and Australia were most underrepresented.

A brief look into other journals publishing vegetation classification papers shows that this bias is not specific for *Phytocoenologia*. Nevertheless we would like to mention

phasise (again) that our journal warmly welcomes contributions dealing with all kinds of vegetation and using all kinds of classification systems and techniques including methods different from the Braun-Blanquet approach, as might be appropriate and common in some regions or in the study of certain vegetation types. The only requirement is that a paper focuses on the development or application of a typology of vegetation units. The size of the study area is of minor importance, as we accept anything from comprehensive studies dealing with relatively small but insufficiently known regions to continental or global reviews of specific vegetation types. The main reason why we rejected papers even without sending them for review was a lack of any vegetation typology. Submissions merely presenting species lists or other summarised data for two or three *ad-hoc* vegetation units will not be considered as suitable for our journal. A set of high-quality vegetation plot data is always a good basis for a contribution to *Phytocoenologia*, but classifications based on plotless recording will not be excluded as long as they are methodologically convincing.

Table 1. Coverage of formations and continents in the papers published in *Phytocoenologia* during 2015–2017. If more than one formation and/or continent was covered in one paper, decimal numbers summing up to one were recorded. Values are the number of papers rounded to the nearest full number (+: less than a full paper, r: less than 20% of a paper). Formations follow Faber-Langendoen et al. (2016). N-Am: North-America, S-Am: South America, Eur: Europe, As: Asia, Af: Africa, Aus: Australia.

FORMATION	N-Am	S-Am	Eur	As	Af	Aus
Forest&Woodland						
1.A.1.TropicalDryForest&Woodland	.	1	.	+	.	.
1.A.2. Tropical Lowland Humid Forest	.	1	.	.	.	.
1.A.3.Tropical MontaneHumid Forest	.	.	.	.	.	.
1.A.4.TropicalFlooded&SwampForest	.	.	.	.	.	.
1.A.5.Mangrove	.	.	.	.	.	.
1.B.1.WarmTemperateForest&Woodland	.	.	+	1	.	.
1.B.2.CoolTemperateForest&Woodland	.	.	5	1	.	.
1.B.3.TemperateFlooded&SwampForest	.	.	.	.	.	.
1.B.4.BorealForest&Woodland	.	.	1	+	.	.
1.B.5.BorealFlooded&SwampForest	.	.	.	.	.	.
Shrub&HerbVegetation						
2.A.1.TropicalLowlandGrassland,Savanna&Shrubland	.	.	.	+	.	.
2.A.2.TropicalMontaneGrassland&Shrubland	.	1	.	.	.	.
2.A.3.TropicalScrub&HerbCoastalVegetation	.	.	.	1	.	.
2.B.1.MediterraneanScrub&Grassland	.	.	1	.	.	.
2.B.2.TemperateGrassland&Shrubland	.	.	6	4	.	.
2.B.3.BorealGrassland&Shrubland	.	.	.	.	.	.
2.B.4.TemperatetoPolarScrub&HerbCoastalVegetation	1	.	3	+	.	.
2.C.1.TropicalBog&Fen	.	.	.	.	.	.
2.C.2.TemperatetoPolarBog&Fen	.	.	1	1	.	.
2.C.3.TropicalFreshwaterMarsh,WetMeadow&Shrubland	.	.	.	.	+	.
2.C.4.TemperatetoPolarFreshwaterMarsh,WetMeadow&Shrubland	.	.	1	.	1	.
2.C.5. SaltMarsh	.	.	2	1	.	.
DesertandSemi-Desert						
3.A.1.TropicalThornWoodland	.	.	.	.	.	.
3.A.2.WarmDesert&Semi-DesertScrub&Grassland	.	1	.	.	1	.
3.B.1.CoolSemi-DesertScrub&Grassland	.	.	.	+	.	.
Polar&HighMontaneScrub,Grassland&Barrens						
4.A.1.TropicalHighMontaneScrub &Grassland	.	.	.	.	.	.
4.B.1.Temperate&BorealAlpineDwarf-shrub&Grassland	.	.	1	2	.	.
4.B.2.PolarTundra&Barrens	.	.	.	.	.	.
AquaticVegetation						
5.A.1.Floating & Suspended Macroalgae Saltwater Vegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
5.A.2.BenthicMacroalgaeSaltwaterVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
5.A.3.BenthicVascularSaltwaterVegetation	r	r	r	r	r	r
5.A.4.BenthicLichenSaltwaterVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
5.B.1.TropicalFreshwaterAquaticVegetation	.	.	.	.	+	.
5.B.2.TemperatetoPolarFreshwaterAquaticVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
OpenRock Vegetation						
6.A.1.TropicalCliff,Scree&OtherRockVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
6.B.1.Temperate&BorealCliff,Scree&OtherRockVegetation	.	.	.	1	.	.

Table1. cont.

FORMATION	N-Am	S-Am	Eur	As	Af	Aus
AnthropomorphicVegetation						
7.A.1.WoodyHorticulturalCrop	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.A.2.ForestPlantation &Agroforestry	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.A.3.WoodyWetlandHorticulturalCrop	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.B.1.Row& CloseGrain Crop	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.B.2.Pasture&HayField&Crop	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.B.3.HerbaceousHorticulturalCrop	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.B.4.FallowField&WeedVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.B.5.HerbaceousWetlandCrop	.	.	.	2	.	.
7.C.1.Lawn,Garden&RecreationalVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.C.2.OtherDevelopedVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.C.3.DevelopedWetlandVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.D.1.AgriculturalAquaticVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
7.D.2.Urban&RecreationalAquaticVegetation	.	.	.	.	.	.
Total	2	5	23	19	5	1

Outstandingpapersin*Phytocoenologia* 2017

In each issue of 2017, one paper was selected as editors' choice and made open access free of charge for one year. Of these four papers, we selected Lyons & Kelly (2017) for the Editors' Award 2017. The European Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) has given a push to vegetation classification even in countries with relatively little tradition in phytosociology. Lyons & Kelly (2017) present an overview of petrifying spring (*Cratoneurion*) communities in Ireland, based on an entirely new dataset and using state-of-the-art classification and ordination methods. This alliance is a priority habitat under Annex I of the Habitats Directive, and phytosociological revisions like this are very important for conservation practitioners. At the same time, the paper is a valuable contribution for a future large-scale revision at the European level. The authors do not only give a synopsis of the floristic composition of this habitat type, but also provide a comparison of the chemical composition of spring waters in the eight community types distinguished.

Extra-zonal steppe outposts in northern Siberia are the topic of the study of Reinecke et al. (2017; editors' choice in issue 2). The phytosociological classification was based on 210 relevés made by the authors in Central and NE Yakutia. The results supported the opinion that the steppes of northern Siberia represent species-poor vicariants of the Central Asian vegetation class of *Cleistogene-tea squarrosae*. North of the boreal treeline, steppes of this class are absent, and xeric microhabitats carry tundra steppes of the class *Caricirupetris-Kobresietea*.

While three of the editor's choice papers of 2017 represent surveys of hitherto little investigated areas, the study

of Di Pietro et al. (2017; editors' choice in issue 3) is an excellent example of a syntaxonomic revision from a country with a great legacy of phytosociological works. However, such a heritage does not *per se* ensure better knowledge of the plant communities of a region. On the contrary, syntaxonomic concepts varying among authors and nomenclatural confusion can even obscure the principal patterns in vegetation. Therefore, large-scale revisions using all the existing data are of uttermost importance. Di Pietro et al. (2017) present such an endeavour for the high-altitude acidophilous grasslands of the Apennines. While the delimitation of the classes will remain a question to be addressed on even larger geographical scale, the authors successfully provide an overview of the orders, alliances and associations present in Italy and highlight the ecological and biogeographical gradients underlying these syntaxonomic units.

A pioneer study on aquatic and semi-aquatic vegetation in East Africa is presented by Alvarez (2017; editors' choice in issue 4), thereby focusing on a greatly under-represented region and two little investigated formations at the same time (see Table 1). Interestingly, he classifies the studied communities into classes also common in Europe, which is perhaps not so surprising since we are dealing with azonal vegetation. Some of the orders and alliances are believed to have a pantropical distribution. Thus, this work will be an important reference for other studies on tropical wetland vegetation.

Special Sections

The Ecoinformatics Section of *Phytocoenologia* has proven to be the most important publication channel for

information about vegetation plot databases in the world. In every issue we had at least one Long or Short Database Report, describing a specific database in cooperation with the Global Index of Vegetation Plot Databases (Dengler et al. 2011; <https://www.givd.info/>). Some of them are comprehensive databases on regional, national or supranational level like the Vegetation Database of Ta-tarstan (Prokhorov et al. 2017), the Vegetation Database of Albania (De Sanctis et al. 2017), the Nationwide Vegetation Plot Database from Italy (Agrillo et al. 2017) or the Vegetation of Middle Asia Database (Nowak et al. 2017). Others are thematic databases, like the Romanian Forest Database (Indreica et al. 2017), the Gravel Bar Vegetation Database (Kalnikova & Kudrnovsky 2017), the Mediterranean *Ammophiletea* Database (Marcenò & Jiménez-Alfaro 2017) and RanVegDunes, a random-plot database of Italian dunes (Sperandii et al. 2017).

The Phytosociological Nomenclature Section is therefore a place for papers dealing with nomenclatural issues. In the past year, two formal proposals to conserve names (Terzi et al. 2017a; Theurillat et al. 2017) were put forward and a nomenclatural revision of Mediterranean chasmophytic vegetation (Terzi et al. 2017b) was published. Authors who wish to submit a nomenclatural proposal should have a look to the guidelines (Willner et al. 2015).

CONCLUSIONS

The Impact Factors and CiteScores of 2016 (released in summer 2017) were 1.66 and 1.63, respectively, and thus above 1.5 for the third year in a row, while before the journal's re-launch both bibliometric indices always had been ≤ 1 . On the one hand, this reflects the many steps in journal development taken by the new editors. On the other hand, it poses the challenge of keeping and further improving this standard in the future. Our constant searches for qualified and diverse members of the editorial team and for exciting journal contributions from all over the world testify our attempts in this direction. Where appropriate we regularly implement improvements in the journal format and layout. Starting with this issue, for example, we offer to accompany author names with hyperlinks to their ORCIDs, which are unique IDs of researchers issued by a global non-profit organisation that allow retrieving the publication work of specific authors even if there are more authors with the same name or a certain person published under different names (see <https://orcid.org>). One aspect that certainly needs further improvement is the review times and particularly the production times from acceptance to online first (FastTrack) publication, and we have issued several measures to this end. However, the most important point for the quality of a

journal is the quality and breadth of contributions – and in this spirit we invite you to submit your excellent studies developing, testing or using vegetation classifications.

References

- Agrillo, E., Alessi, N., Massimi, M., Spada, F., De Sanctis, M., Francesconi, F., Cambria, V.E. & Attorre, F. 2017. Nation-wide Vegetation Plot Database – Sapienza University of Rome: state of the art, basic figures and future perspectives. *Phytocoenologia* 47: 221–229.
- Alvarez, M. 2017. Classification of aquatic and semi-aquatic vegetation in two East African sites: Cocktail definitions and syntaxonomy. *Phytocoenologia* 47: 345–364.
- De Sanctis, M., Fanelli, G., Mullaj, A. & Attorre, F. 2017. Vegetation Database of Albania. *Phytocoenologia* 47: 107–108.
- Dengler, J., Bergmeier, E., Jansen, F. & Willner, W. 2017. *Phytocoenologia*: the leading journal with a focus on vegetation classification. *Phytocoenologia* 47: 1–11.